Design of 200MeV KEK-ERL Test facility by using SAD

Miho SHIMADA,

Accelerator Laboratory, KEK

(Present affiliation, UVSOR, IMS)

Energy Recovery Linac (ERL)

- Beam energy were recovered and putted into the following bunch
 - High coherency, low emittance and short bunch beam



Plan of 200MeV KEK-ERL Test Facility (~2005 year)



Merging optics

• The merging optics comprise

- **4 quadrupoles** for matching the injector lattice functions into the linac
- 4 dipoles chicane for merging the injector to main linac.



Optics up to the insertion devices



Lattice of 200MeV KEK-ERL Test Facility



Parameters of ERL Test Facility

Max. beam energy :	205 MeV
• Max. average beam current :	100 mA
• Max. bunch charge :	77 pC
• Operating frequency :	1.3 GHz
Normalized transverse	
emittance (x/y) :	100 nm rad
• Rms bunch length :	$1 \text{ ps} \rightarrow 0.1 \text{ps}$
• Rms energy spread :	5 ×10 ⁻⁵
• RF cavity gradient :	20 MV /m
• Injection beam energy :	5 MeV
• R ₅₆ in one TBA :	$-0.7\sim0.0$

Required beam quality

- Small normalized emittance
- Short bunch
- High current
- High efficiency energy recovery

 $e_{pnx} < 100$ nm rad $s_z \sim 0.1$ psec ~ 100 mA

etc

Simulation

- 1. Emittance growth due to Coherent Synchrotron Radiation (CSR) at the chicane with low energy (Kim *et al*)
- 2. Emittance growth due to HOMs in multi-bunch (Kim *et al*)
- 3. Emittance growth in the arc section for bunh compression
- 4. Efficiency of beam energy recover after bunch compression

Emittance growth due to CSR wake



• Emittance growth caused by the aberration from the nominal orbit by the energy change due to coherent synchrotron radiation (CSR).

1. Optimization of the optics of the merger (Kim *et al*)

Bending angle in merging dipoles should be as small as possible to minimize the influence of CSR on the beam emittance.



Optimization of the merger section for minimization of the emittance growth due to CSR



• Optimization of the length of the drifts and b_x , b_y at the injection

• $q = 37 \text{ pC}, s_z = 1 \text{ psec}, e_{nx} = 100 \text{ nm} \cdot \text{rad}$

2. Emittance growth due to HOM in Multi-bunch (Kim *et al*)

A resonator wake by RF HOM

• $R/Q = 23.8 \times 10^4 [Ohm/m^2]$: Tesla type



Emittance in Single bunch tracking



Beam Emittance

Emittance by continuous 1000 bunches passage



Multi-bunch instability due to RF HOMs and CSR effect

320 bunches, q = 37 pC, $s_z = 1 \text{ psec}$, $e_{nx} = 100 \text{ mm} \text{-mrad}$



- R/Q= 23.8D4, fh=2.5752D9, Q=5D4
- R/Q=8.69D4, fh=1.8722D9, Q=7D4
- R/Q=6.54D4, fh=1.8642D9, Q=5D4

 1
 233

 30
 262

 59
 291

 88
 320

 117
 320

 146
 175

If $s_z = 1$ psec, the emittance growth is caused by HOM rather than CSR.

Bunch compression and emittance growth due to CSR



• Bunch compression is performed at the arc section

Bunch compression at the arc



How to optimize the bunch compression?

- 1. R_{56} of ARC section was varied from 0 to -0.7 m.
- 2. RF phase shift, f_{RF} , was controlled.
- 3. Broaden the energy spread, s_E .

Additional two quadrupole magnets



Matching q_{CSR} and q_{Phase} at the end of the fourth bending magnet



When the direction of the transverse phase space, q_{Phase} , is parallel to the direction of the CSR kick, q_{CSR} , the emittance growth can be minimized.

Emittance growth is large Minimized emittance growth

 $\tan 2\theta_{Phase} = \alpha / (\gamma - \beta) \qquad a, b, g: \text{Twiss parameter}$ $\theta_{CSR} = \sin \phi / \rho (1 - \cos \phi) \qquad f, r: \text{Bending angle and radius}$

$$q_{\rm Phase}$$
 vs. R_{56}



- q_{Phase} was controlled by changing twiss parameter *a* at the end of the fourth bending magnet
- Controllable range in q_{Phase} is depend on R_{56}
- According to the left graph, q_{CSR} agree well with q_{Phase} at $R_{56} = -0.5$ m. The emittance growth can be minimized around it.

Longitudinal Phase space



Sharp peak \rightarrow Large CSR

Smooth shape → Small CSR

Transverse Phase Space



4. Energy recovery after bunching



The optics of ARC 1 and ARC 2 are not symmetry because the longitudianal phase space is not accurately upright at the insertion device.
 *R*₅₆ of ARC 2 was controlled under the condition that *R*₅₆ of ARC 1 is -0.4, *q* is 19.4 C, in which *e_x*~200nm rad at the insertion devices.

Relationship s_z beginning of the cavity for energy recovery and R_{56} of ARC 2



- In no CSR case, the optimum optics to recover the bunch length, 1 psec, is $R_{56} = -0.5$ m.
- In CSR case, small R_{56} is enough to recover the bunch length, which is extended by CSR.

Residual energy after the energy recovery and R_{56} of ARC section for return



- The figure shows the change in the energy before and after the <u>cavity for energy recovery</u>.
- Efficiency of energy recovery is high for a short bunch.

Energy distribution after the cavity for energy recovery (energy recovery+energy loss due to CSR)



Summary

- In the case of 1 psec electron bunch, HOM is more critical issue for the emittance growth than CSR.
- By optimization of arc section, 30mA is achieved with keeping the condition that e_{pnx} >200nm rad and s_z < 0.1psec (30*m*m) at the insertion device.
- For high efficiency energy recovery, the bunch length should not be recovered but remain to be short.
- In some simulation, we employed ERL Track developed by K. Yokoya, which is similar to SAD and work on Windows platform.